

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

AEVOE CORP.,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

AE TECH. CO., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:12-cv-00053-GMN-NJK

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
SEAL**

(Docket No. 301)

Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to seal. Docket No. 301 ("Mot."). The motion seeks to file under seal the unredacted version of Defendants' reply in support of their motion to compel. *See* Docket No. 302; *see also* Docket No. 300 (redacted version filed on public docket). Plaintiff filed a declaration in support of the motion. *See* Docket No. 336. For good cause shown and for the reasons set out below, the Court hereby GRANTS the motion.

I. STANDARD

The documents at issue in the motion to seal are all related to non-dispositive motions. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to non-dispositive motions must make a particularized showing of "good cause" to overcome the presumption of public access. *See Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006); *see also Aevoe Corp. v. AE Tech. Co.*, 2013 WL 2302310, *1 (D. Nev. May 24, 2013). To the extent any confidential information can be easily redacted while leaving meaningful information available to the public, the Court must order that redacted versions be filed rather than sealing entire documents. *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1137 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Vaccine Ctr.*

1 *LLC v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC*, 2013 U.S. Dist. Lexis 68298, *9-10 (D. Nev. May 14, 2013)
2 (discussing redaction requirement).

3 **II. ANALYSIS**

4 The requested redaction relates to quotations to documents previously filed under seal. *See*
5 Docket No. 336 at ¶ 5. In particular, those quotations are to portions of the exhibit at Docket No.
6 257-2, for which the Court has previously found good cause to exist for sealing. *See* Docket No.
7 308 at 2-3. For the same reasons articulated by the Court previously with respect to these exhibits,
8 *see id.*, the Court finds good cause exists here to redact quotations from that exhibit.

9 **III. CONCLUSION**

10 For good cause shown, Defendants' motion to seal is hereby **GRANTED**. The unredacted
11 brief at Docket No. 302 will remain under seal and no further filing of redacted versions is required.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: July 15, 2013

14 
15 _____
16 NANCY J. KOPPE
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28